| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|-----------|
| Examinar-Initiated Interview Sum | 10/773,740 | BÄDESHA ET AL. | |
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Erma Cameron | 1762 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Appli | cation: | |
| (1) <u>Erma Cameron</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Annette Bade</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 10 May 2005 | Time: | | |
| | ☐ Applicant's representative |) | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | · | |
| Claims discussed: 3 and 11 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | • | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet | E GENERAL NATURE OF V | VHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The examiner will prove eparate record of the substa | vide a written summary of the nnce of the interview, since the | substance |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (| Applicant/Applicant's Repres | entative Signature – if approp | priate) |

Application No. 10/773,740

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1. The priority statement on page 1 will be updated. 2. "Terpolymer" of claim 3 is obviously incorrect and will be replaced by the correct 'tetrapolymer, as defined in claim 2. 3. Claim 11 will be amended for better antecedent basis. These will be done by examiner's amendment.